93

1459-90

File No. 851-59

Serial Nos. 1-18

192

(2. 2.)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Part B for [illegible] 1894.

C. Part II

(1)

2

L.Q. 85-89

M. No 1885

dated 5 Dec 1889

Petitioner
Venkobachar & others
Inhabitants of ~~Devanhalli Taluk~~
~~Lokkavara P.O~~
Devanhalli Bangalore Dt.

Petitioner complain~~s~~ ~~the~~
against the land assessment
charges on their Village by
the Survey Dept.—

The following endorsement
was issued.—

"Petitioners should present
a Statement showing the
area of Dry wet & garden
lands respectively actually
under cultivation, &
revenue realized
Lokkavara. The result of
statement will
engraved into

10/12/89



No 1885

The Diwan to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharajah of Mysore.

D.O. 85-89

Respected Sir,

Referring to your endorsement No 1046 of 28th August last on our application of 15th idem (attached hereunto in original for favor of your perusal) we the undersigned beg to state that in addition to the facts therein stated the following facts may be also fully considered in passing final orders & give us redress:—

1 - When asked by the Inam Authorities at the time of their survey to state that whether we like to have the quit rent fixed on local bonds (ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಬಂಧಗಳು) or on the bonds fixed on the circumferential area of the village (ಪರಿಧಿಯ ಬಂಧಗಳು) we have distinctly stated that we prefer to have the latter Course adopted & inspite of our entreaties bonds were fixed locally (ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಬಂಧಗಳು) & subjected us to pay the disputed Excess quit rent.

2. The Excess quit rent was not fixed after a thorough investigation as to the total beriz annual yield or produce derived from such beriz, condition, population, irrigation facilities of the village & the description of the soil &c—

3 - It is quite strange to see that in the same Taluk some Talugs are subjected to the

Peons, Gilkeet & some are not such as Kangalore Malvor, Nellamangala, Chitteldroog, &c.

4. We are quite prepared to undergo all the necessary expenses in respect of settling the matter after making fresh Pahanis of the village

5. The order of the Taluq authorities as to the sale of the forest-property &c. of the village must be post-poned until final orders are passed by your honour.

If all the matters are not fully investigated by your honour Govt. we have no other alternative but single to leave the village & go astray to foreign country for begging alms.

Full trusting that your honour with kind & paternal grace hearing to the poor ryots prayers & do justice we beg to remain

Sir,
Your most obd & loyal ryots.

Kangalore
5/12/84

वेंकोबा-चार कुलु ध—
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Jodidars of Mallayanahally village
Davanahally Talaque.

By Comm. Mr. Gl. 7.8-8-84 Govt. 1.8-8-84

(4

No. 1885

7. 5 Dec/89 -

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

18th/20 Decr 1889.

4

L.R. 85-89-2

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Petitioner Venkobachar and others, Jaridars of Davenhulli, Bangalore Dt.

Petitioners complain against the quit rent charges on their village by the Survey Department.

Endorsement.

In reply to their Petition, dated 5 December 1889

Venkobachar and others, Jaridars of Davenhulli, Bangalore District are informed that they should present a Statement shewing the area of Dry, Wet and Garden Lands respectively actually under cultivation, and the revenue realized therefrom - The matter of their Statement will then be enquired into -

2 Enc: are returned -

"By Order"

Asst. Secy.

No 7151/356 18-12-89

Copy to Dy Comr Bangalore Dt

1/a

18/12

1889-90

To

The Dy Comm'r Bangalore

Dy R. Dec 1889

20

No 7157
356

Forwarding copy of
Endorsement to Peter
Venkobacher and others
of Devanhalli Bangalore
Dist

18/12

No. 1885 of 5th Dec 1889

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

18th December 1889.

20 — 12 — 89.

6

3

A. Q. 85-89-2

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Petitioner Venkataßar and others, Jodidars of Devanhalli Bangalore District.

Petitioners complain against the quitrent charges on their Village by the Survey Department.

Endorsement.

In reply to their Petition, dated 5th December 1889 Venkataßar and others, Jodidars of Devan=halli, Bangalore District, are informed that they should present a Statement show=ing the area of Dry, Wet and Gardenlands respectively actually under cultivation and the revenue realized therefrom. The matter of their Statement will then be enquired into.

2: Enclosures are returned. By Order.

S. Mudaliar

Under Secretary

No 2232

7

The following is the purport of the above from Venkanna, Goudar of Mallenahalli, Devanahalli Taluk:—

In accordance with Order No. 1885 from the Deputy Commissioner's office the Pahani accounts of the village in question have been accurately prepared and submitted with this. The same may be examined after examination of the said accounts.

(9

No 2258

I. Q. 85-89-4.

8

No.
5-65

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(10

Official Memorandum. G.L.R 85-89-5;

The Accompanying Petition No. 2232, dated 9th January/90 received at the Dewan's Office from Venkobachary, Jodidar of Mallanahalli, Devanhalli Taluk,

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Inam Supdt for submission of the Inam Register of the Village.

BANGALORE,
30th January 1890

By Order,
Under Secretary.

Mysore Government, Central Press, Bangalore.—25-7-89.—1,000.

1271

(11)

OFFICE OF THE
8723
15 FEB 90
182
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From
The Supdt of Inam Settls:

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore

Dated 14th
Recd 15th
February

Enclosure No 4

No 45 of 1889-90.

His Official Memo
No 565 of the 30th ultimo.

As requested in the above, have the honor to forward herewith the Inam Register (No 488) of Devanahally Taluk containing the case (No 2) under reference, to be kindly returned when no longer required.

2. The enclosures of the Memo under reply are herewith returned.

Supdt of Inam Settls.

20-2-90.

(13

14

Pn No 1885 dated
18 Dec 1889.
225
Pn No ~~124~~ dated
23 Jany 1890

Pro
Vaikobachar & others
Sowdars of
Mallenhalli
Devanhalli Taluq
Bangalore Dt

The Statement presented by the Pro shows that the area actually cultivated is a great deal less than that assumed by the Survey Dept. The Dy Comr is requested to cause the area actually cultivated to be measured at the cost of the Pro & submit a report.

LL
13/1/91

20/1

1

13. 1.

(15)

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No. 1885 of 18th Dec. 89. 15
" No. 2232 of 23rd Janry. 90.

Appellants Vs. Respondent

Venkobachar & others,
Jodidars of Mallenhalli,
Devanhalli Talk,
Bangalore District.

Decision. 20.1.91.

The statement presented by the Petitioners shews that the area actually cultivated is a great deal less than that assumed by the Survey Dept.

The Dy. Commr. is requested to cause the area actually cultivated to be measured at the cost of the Petrs and submit a report.

By order

Under Secty.

No. 1225 / 1-99 O. 984.
06-2-91

Copy to the Dy. Commr, Bangalore Dt.

By order

Under Secty.

(General Serial No. 30). 7-9 (18

189 1/92

From L. R. 85-89-92

The Chief Secy: to the Dewan
of Mysore

To

The Dy: Commr
Bangalore Dt.

Dated 4th
Despatched 10. } July/91.
Received

From the same No. 395 of 6/1891

Enclosures No.

General No. 4236 Re
P. No. 58

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your No. 114 of 13th May last promising a detailed report on the appeal petition from Venkobachar and others Jodidars of Mallenahalli, Devanhalli Talukh.

—o—

I am directed to request that the report promised in the above may be submitted as early as practicable.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
Servant

Under Secy.

Erotd 3015.

119

(General Serial No. 30)

L.A. 85-87 1891

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 6th August 1891.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No.
D No. 395.

States that on requesting the Survey Supdt to depute a Mouzandar to measure the area actually cultivated in Jodi Mallenhalli village, Devanhully Talk he says that it would be better to depute an Asst Supdt for this work and pending receipt of Govt orders the Mouzandar has not been sent.

To the Rev: Survey Superintendent No. 4 dy 11/1/92

Ascertain from the Survey Supdt whether any, if so what, reference has been made to Govt on the subject, & whether any reply is pending and also copy?

Dy Commissioner
6/1/92

Chief Secy

Neither Survey Superintendent's letter referred to in the letter nor with the Provisional Survey be in the Superintendent's

17-8-91

AS 5-8-92 AS 14-12-91 AS 7/8/91 AS 8-8-91

Reports

Mr Nch to Survey Asst's letter referred to

Pet... 1/8

Plan...

AS 8/8/91

PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 2296R
DATE 18-12-91

To,

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
CAMP Mysore
REG'D. No. 685
DATE 7-12-91

K. Sashadri Iyer Esqre
Dewan to H. H. the maharaja of
Mysore.

Most Respected Sir.

In my last pitition of the 20th June 1891, I requested an order to the Amildar or on endorsment on my application to the effect that until the decession about the increased amount of Jodi is settled The trees &c belonging to our Jodi village should be left undisturbed.

I wailed up to the time wailing your honour's order, though I have paid the usual Jodi quit rent, I am troubled by the Amildar to pay the increased amount.

I now request your honour to be good enough to send an order to the Amildar to the effect that I should not be troubled about the increased Jodi & oblige.

I beg to sir

Your's most obidient servant.
Navaratna. Venkoba char
Jodidhar of Hallanahally
Devanhully taluq
Solosavaji Petta.
Bangalore

11th November 1891
Bangalore

Issued 28/12/91

(23)

(General Serial No. 30).

24

1891/92

L.R. 85-89-13

From

The Chief Secy: to the Dewan of Mysore

To

The Supdt of Rev: Survey.

Dated 11th
Despatched 15th } January/92
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. R
No. 40

Letter No 3956 6th August last from the Dy: Commr, Bangalore Dt.

In forwarding copy of the above has the honor to request that the Survey Supdt will be good enough to report whether any, if so what, reference has been made to this office anent the measurement of the area actually cultivat in Jodi Mallenhalli v Devanhalli Taluk, a any reply is pens

Draft

24

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892. dR. 85-89-14

No. 3020

Name of Petitioner. Venkobachar

Village. Jodi Mallenhalli

Taluk. Devanhalli

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 14.1.92

Date of Receipt. 15.1.92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

Submitted
to the Council
with reference

H
3/2/92.

✓

Petitioner is
mamuth. The Jodi
must be made
according to Survey Valuation
hereby ~~recommend~~
~~Revaluation~~ disposal
the appeal.

4/2

Reminder Re

ABSTRACT.

The Petitioner repr

That Coercive mea
were adopted to levy
the Jodi amount du
(according to the
present Valuatio

That he pai
amount in th
meanwhile un
protest;

2 That to preven
further action
Orders may be sig
the Amildar to lev
Mamool Jodi i
the Appl[illegible] dispo

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 3020 R
DATE 15-1-92

ਸੁਖਦੇਵ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰ. ਬਲਵੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕ ਖਾਨਪੁਰ ਕੁਈ ਤਹਿ ਤੇ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਹਾਲ ਵਾਸੀ ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰ. 1-4-92

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਕਲਰਕ ਦੀ ਅਸਾਮੀ ਲਈ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਸੀ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਇੰਟਰਵਿਊ ਮਿਤੀ 15-1-92 ਨੂੰ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ। ਮੈਂ ਇੰਟਰਵਿਊ ਵਿਚ ਪਾਸ ਹੋ ਗਿਆ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਨਿਯੁਕਤੀ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੀ ਉਡੀਕ ਹੈ। ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਵਿਸ਼ਵਾਸ ਦਿਵਾਉਂਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਪੂਰੀ ਮਿਹਨਤ ਅਤੇ ਲਗਨ ਨਾਲ ਕੰਮ ਕਰਾਂਗਾ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਕੰਮ ਵਿਚ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਦਾ ਮੌਕਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਦੇਵਾਂਗਾ।

ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦੱਸ ਦੇਣਾ ਚਾਹੁੰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਬੇਰੁਜ਼ਗਾਰ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਮੇਰੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੀ ਆਰਥਿਕ ਹਾਲਤ ਬਹੁਤ ਖਰਾਬ ਹੈ। ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਜਲਦੀ ਤੋਂ ਜਲਦੀ ਨਿਯੁਕਤੀ ਪੱਤਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੀ ਆਰਥਿਕ ਹਾਲਤ ਸੁਧਾਰ ਸਕਾਂ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ,

ਆਪ ਦਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰੀ

ਸੁਖਦੇਵ ਸਿੰਘ

(28

No. 677

29/1

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 21st January 1892

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your Docket
No. 40 of the 11th Instant forward-
-ing copy of Bangalore Deputy
Commissioner's letter No. 39 dated
6th August last and enquiring
whether any reference has been
made regarding the remeasure-
-ment of Jodi Mallenhalli,
Devanhalli Taluk, and
whether

Memo No. 140 as just
up with petition
No. 3020 d/14/1/92

Y

Y.1 (30

Ref: post

Y
2 Q 2

1	No. 164 dated 9th. June 1891 Para 3
2	No. 250 of 13th. July 1891

per margin and that no Orders have yet been received on the subject.

2 No correspondence, besides that alluded to by the Deputy Commissioner in his letter No. 395 of 6th. August last, has taken place on the case now under reference

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant
H. Lumsden
Supdt. Mysore Red. Survey

2
Y 20: 879 8/10·3·91 reporting post Wasem talli)

Y
3/2/92

whether any reply is pending.

I have the honor to state that, as will be perceived from the enclosed copy of this Office Yadi No. 2239 of 1891 which gave rise to this correspondence definite instructions regarding the mode of fixing the valuation of alienated villages generally, though not particularly with reference to the above mention-ed village, have been solicit-ed in this Office letter No. 818 dated 11th March 1891, Para 5, and subsequent reminders as

for

submitted
this Gr.
(918 / 1147) is
with Dewan
Bahadur
vide. Ord
passed on
this file and
with Dy Com
- but left

No. 3020.

L.R. 85-89-16

Endorsement.

In reply to this Petition dated 14th January 92, Venkobacha Jodidar of Mallenballi, Devanhalli Taluk, is informed that his request is inadmissible. The Jodi must be made according to Survey valu-

is ~~informed that~~ above pending disposal of the Appeal.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
15th February 1892.

Drafted as per C's order

By Order,
Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press Bangalore.—28-9-91—1000.

The file connected with the Petn
has been subd to Camp Under
Secy with Survey Supdt's letter
No 677 9721-1-92 (Gl No 10162) dd
the 5th February 1892.

Mark
11/5/93. [illegible]

Sir Sivaprasana Mudi to be asked to
put up the file if it is with him.
10/6

(General Serial No. 32.) (3)

1893.

No. 2921 & 85-89-17

Name of Petitioner. Navaratna Venkoba-
-ther, Jodidar

Village. Mellanahulli

Taluk. Devanahulli

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 1-5-93

Date of Receipt. 5-5-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. -Nil-

ABSTRACT.

Requesting early [illegible]
-able orders on his appe.
petition dated 14th April
complaining of the cir.
Jodi amount payable to
Government on Mellang-
-hulli village of the De-
-vanahulli Taluk.

[redacted]

Asstt Secy

Under Secy

6/5

Villag[illegible] [illegible]ave the revised Jodi amount.

I humbly beg therefore that you[illegible]
[illegible]nor will pity our poor Condition & dispose
of the appeal at your honor's earliest
Convenience.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most Obedient & humble ser[illegible]
वे को धा चारे जो डी धार पाडे वे हरिडे

Vitthallapur
1st May 1893.

100-9

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

R. 83-89-1670.
R. 84-89-1671.

1889-90

192.

(L. Q.)

File No. 85-89

Serial Nos. 17 18

later references.

R. 86-89

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 17 18.

Part B for February 1894.

SUBJECT.

Complaint against the Survey Valuation of the Village of Mallenahalli by the Jodidars Venkobachar & others.

---

18. To. The Asstt. Rev: Survey.
" Do Inam Settlement.
" Dy: Comm^r Bangalore Dr.

No. 14223-5/Rev. H. 100-93 dt 17.2.94

Issuing instructions for revision of the valuation of certain Jode villages with reference to the assessment of land actually under cultivation at the time of classification without any distinction as to whether the field was cultivated more or less than half.

Endorsed
21-7-21
AM

WD 2223—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

No. 2317

2 Jan 91

12

L.R. 85-89-7

13

Venkobachar
dar of Mallenhalli
Nandhalli Taluk.

Endorsement.

In reply to his Petition dated 31st December 1890

Petitioner is informed that his Petition of
5th idem has been forwarded to the Dy
Commr for submission of further details;
~~is informed that~~
and that on receipt of the ~~same~~ information,
his (Petr's) case will be disposed
of.

WR
2/1/91
WD
AR

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
2nd January 1891.
4k

By Order,
C. Venkata
Asst Secretary.

(General Serial No. 30).

14

189/ .

L.R. 85-89-4

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Deputy Commr Bangalore Dist

Dated
Despatched } February/91
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 12425 Pg 84
No. 499

Requesting that the area actually cultivated by Appoto Venkoba Gar & others Jodidars of Malen halli Devanhalli Talak, be measured, and reported.

To the same No 231 dy 23/4/91
From the Dy Comr Kolar No: 114 dy 13/5/91
From the Asst Comr Kolar No: 305 dy 8/8/91

(General Serial No, 31,)

OFFICE OF THE
1327
14 MAY 91
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1891-92 p. 12

From The Dy Commissioner
R. D. 85-89-9
Bangalore District.

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 13th May 1891

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 114.

His No. 12425/499 dated 20th January 1891 and Official Memo No. 931/19 of the 23rd April last.

" .

Adverting to the above, has the honor to state that the complainant, Venkobachar, having absented himself from the village, the measurement could not be effected du He has now depos -fore the Asst Bangalore T

Under Se

131
15-5-91

Petn rec'd this
15/5/91

-cused report awaited.
-ntimated in 2 weeks
-ee a reminder should
-ent.
5/91 AR

is willing to bear the cost of measurin
land. The Amildar of Devanhully Talu
been directed to have the land measu
and to submit particulars thereof, early.
Receipt of the same a detailed report will

Yr Obedt Servt
Offg Dy Commissr

D No. 395. 2/12 (20)
Encl 1

By Commissioners Office.
Bangalore District.
Dated 6th August 1891.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 12425/499 dated 10th February last, I have the honor to state that on requesting the Survey Superintendent to depute a Mouzamdar to measure the area actually cultivated in Jodi Mallenhalli village, Devanhully Talukh he in his Yadi No. 2239 dated 1st Instant, states that it would be better to depute an Assistant Superintendent for this work and that he has made a reference to Government on this subject. That pending receipt of Government orders, the Mouzamdar has not been sent.

2. The enclosure received with Thal docket No. 931/19 dated 23rd April last Tly Talu is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient
Servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commr

(General Serial No. 30).

OFFICE OF THE 27
10142
21 JAN. 92
P.20 136
MYSORE

1892.

From The Superintendent 27
Mysore Revenue Survey.

To The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Dated 21st January 1892.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 677
No.

States that a definite reply to this Office letter No. 11473 dated 11th March 1891, Para 5, regarding the mode of fixing the valuation of alienated Villages is pending.

Ref. Book 21/1/92

In matter of the petition of Kukkabachar & Sheri dars of Mallenhalli, Channahalli Taluk.

Ref. reference — Govt order No: 12425/499 dy 1: Feby 91.

Dy. Com: in 395 6.8.91. submitted that it would be better to depute an Asst Supt to find out the area actually cultivated.

Submitted to the Council.

Vide Mr Chentsal Rao's note dated 4 April 91 — The Commissioner cannot dispose of this appeal till orders are passed by the Dewan on Supdt's No 818 dy 11 March 91 B3
The file may be sent to Dewan's Secretary 8-1-92
4/2/92

1/2/92

Copy "

(37

H. Hunt

"

Superintendent

Ulysses Reclamation

Mary Edwards 18 Bla

(35)

The Dewan

to H. H. ~~the Maharaja of~~ Mysore.



Sir.

I the ~~undersigned~~ ~~Hanumantha~~ Venkat-
-char jodidar of Mallenahalli, Davanahalli
Taluk beg to state that on 14th January 1892 I
submitted a petition regarding the unfairly
enhanced jodi of the said village. In re
to it I received from the Chief Secretary
endorsement No. 3020 of 4/15 February 1892
effect that my request made in the petition was
inadmissable and the jodi must have to
made according to Survey Valuation, pending
disposal of the appeal. Ever since I have
been paying the jodi including the enhan
amount with full belief that my appl
would soon be disposed of. But to this
the appeal lies undisposed. On this acc
I have been put to a dead loss becaus
[illegible]

(36

Village as the revised Jodi amount.

I humbly beg therefore that your honor will pity our poor condition & dispose of the appeal at your honor's earliest convenience.

I beg to remain

Sir

Your most obedient & humble ser

वे कोळी चोर जोडी धारण झाले नव्हते

Vekhallapur

1st May 1893.

file connected with the Sub
been sub to Camp Under
with Survey Supdt's letter
) 21-1-92 (GL No 1062) dt
February 1892.

ವರ್ಷಾನ ಇಂದಿ ಕೊಡಲು
ಪ್ಪ the file if it is with him.
10/6

(General Serial No. 32.) (34
1893.

No. 2921. L & 85-89-17

Name of Petitioner. Navaratna Venkoba
-thar, Jodidar

Village. Mellanahulli

Taluk. Devanahulli

District. Bangalore

Date of Arri. 1-5-93

Date of Receipt. 5. 5. 93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. - Nil -

ABSTRACT.

Requesting early
-able orders on his appe
petition dated 14th ult
complaining of the
Jodi amount - payd
Government - on Mellan
-hulli village of the
-vanahulli Taluk.

Recd
Recd
6/5